

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 14239US02)**

In the Application of:

Uri Elzur

U.S. Serial No.: 10/688,373

Filed: October 17, 2003

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
RECEIVE QUEUE PROVISIONING

Examiner: Louis W. Bell

Group Art Unit: 2619

Conf. No.: 2223

Customer No.: 23446

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via EFS-Web to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 21, 2008.

/Michael T. Cruz/
Michael T. Cruz
Reg. No. 44,636

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop **Issue Fee**
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper responds to the Notice of Allowance mailed August 1, 2008 and any statement by the Examiner in the prosecution history that might be construed as the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance ("Examiner's Statement") in the above-identified application and accompanies the issue/publication fee transmittal (part B).

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully submits that any statement by the Examiner in the prosecution history of the present application that might be construed as the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance ("Examiner's Statement") presents only some of the reasons for allowance of the claims, and that other reasons also exist for allowing the claims such as, for example, those set forth more completely in the record as a whole. This interpretation is consistent with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, which states that any statement of reasons for allowance "[i]s not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state all the reasons for allowance are set forth." M.P.E.P. § 1302.14.

Applicant respectfully submits that any such Examiner's Statement might imply that the dependent claims are only allowable because they depend from allowed independent claims. However, such an Examiner's Statement would not discuss any of the other elements of the claimed subject matter, in particular, those additional elements recited in the dependent claims which may render the dependent claims independently allowable in view of the specification, prosecution file history and/or the documents made of record, either alone or in combination.

Finally, Applicant agrees with the Examiner that claims 18-22, 24, and 26-47 are allowable in view of all of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination. However, Applicant does not necessarily agree or disagree with the Examiner's characterization of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination, or the Examiner's characterization of recited claim elements. In closing, Applicant respectfully reserves the right

U.S. Application No. 10/688,373, filed October 17, 2003
Attorney Docket No. 14239US02
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance dated August 21, 2008
In Reply to Notice of Allowance mailed August 1, 2008

to argue the characterization of the documents of record, either alone or in combination, to argue what is allegedly well known, allegedly obvious or allegedly disclosed, or to argue the characterization of the recited claim elements should that need arise in the future.

If the Examiner has questions, or if Applicant can be of assistance, the Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact Applicant's representative at the below-listed telephone number.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, to charge any fee deficiencies or to credit any overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Dated: August 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael T. Cruz/

Michael T. Cruz
Reg. No. 44,636

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 775-8084
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100